



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/797,271

03/10/2004

Glenn Algie

7000-248

2945

27820 7590 02/03/2009
WITHROW & TERRANOVA, P.L.L.C.
100 REGENCY FOREST DRIVE
SUITE 160
CARY, NC 27518

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, ANH NGOC M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2416

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/03/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/797,271	Applicant(s) ALGIE ET AL.	
	Examiner Anh Ngoc Nguyen	Art Unit 2416	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 November 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03/10/2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2416

Response to Amendment

Applicants' Arguments/Remarks filed 11/18/2008 with respect to claims 1 – 20 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments regarding the new amendments to independent claims 1 and 7 are set forth below.

Claims 1, 5, and 7 have been amended. Claims 13 – 20 have been added. Claims 1 – 20 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/18/2008 has been entered.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 - 5 recite the clause with the optional language “adapted to.” In order to present the claim in a better form and to describe a positive or require steps/function to be performing (i.e. using the claim language that does not suggest or make optionally but required steps to be performed), Applicant is suggested to revise the claim language such that the steps/functions, which follows “adapted to”, to be performed are required (not optional).

See MPEP 2106 [R-6] and 2111.04 [R-3].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Art Unit: 2416

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 7 – 12 and 17 – 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. While the claims recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, a statutory “process” under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing (Reference the May 15, 2008 memorandum issued by Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examining Policy, John J. Love, titled “Clarification of ‘Processes’ under 35 U.S.C. 101”). The instant claims neither transform underlying subject matter nor positively tie to another statutory category that accomplishes the claimed method steps, and therefore do not qualify as a statutory process.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1 – 12, 16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou et al (US 7,043,569) in view of Moon et al (US 7,000,052).

Art Unit: 2416

Chou discloses method and apparatus for configuring an interconnect device comprising the following features:

Regarding claim 1, Chou discloses an adaptive interconnect (see Fig. 2, col. 1 lines 40 - 55, col. 3 lines 4 - 25 and col. 4 lines 36 - 40, switch 200) for providing an interface between multiple modules and a control system comprising: a) a control system interface (see Fig. 3A, processor subsystem interface 304); b) a plurality of module interfaces (see col. 3 lines 20 - 25 and Fig. 2, PORTS 1 - 9); and c) adaptive interconnect logic (see col. 4 lines 1 - 14 and Fig. 2, management port 208) associated with the control system interface and the plurality of module interfaces (see Fig. 2 and Fig. 3A, management port is coupled to ports 1 - 9 and processor subsystem interface 304) and adapted to:

i) negotiate with a module over a control path (see Fig. 2, Fig. 3A - 3C, col. 3 lines 25 - 47, col. 4 lines 25 - 35 and col. 5 lines 15 - 48, competing requests for switch resources) via one of the plurality of module interfaces to identify an interface personality for the module (see Fig. 2, col. 5 lines 28 - 48 and col. 6 lines 64 - 67, identifying a storage device storing the configuration data and sending a request for the configuration data); ii) load the interface personality based on negotiations with the module (see Fig. 2, col. 3 lines 32 - 37 and col. 4 lines 40 - 45, loading the configuration data); and iii) apply the interface personality to the one of the plurality of module interfaces (see Fig. 3A - 3C, Fig. 4, Fig. 5, col. 2 lines 25 - 30, col. 4 lines 20 - 25, lines 42 - 46, col. 6 lines 20 - 28 and col. 8 lines 1 - 10, providing the configuration data to various components of switch 200),

such that the applied interface personality provides an appropriate interconnection between the control system interface (see Fig. 3A, processor subsystem interface 304) and the

Art Unit: 2416

one of the plurality of module interfaces (see col. 3 lines 20 – 25 and Fig. 2, PORTS 1 - 9) via a plurality of pins (see Fig. 2, Fig. 5, Fig. 6, col. 8 lines 20 – 50, values of one or more input pins of the interconnect device are used to identify such storage device).

Regarding claims 2, Chou discloses wherein different interface personalities can be implemented simultaneously among the plurality of module interfaces (see col. 4 lines 20 – 25 lines 42 – 46 and col. 6 lines 20 – 28, providing the configuration data to units of the switch).

Regarding claims 3, Chou discloses wherein the adaptive interconnect logic is further adapted to renegotiate with the module over the control path if initial negotiations fail (see col. 4 lines 43 – 50, reloading the configuration information when resetting the interconnect device).

Regarding claim 4, Chou discloses wherein if the renegotiation fails, the adaptive interconnect logic is further adapted to send a notification of failure (see col. 3 lines 55 – 67, verify whether the POST has passed or failed).

Regarding claim 5, Chou discloses wherein the adaptive interconnect logic (see Fig. 2, management port 208) is further adapted to: a) receive a stimulus indicative of a change in personality for the module (see col. 5 lines 49 – 62, receiving an indicator); b) renegotiate with the module over the control path via the one of the plurality of module interfaces to identify a new interface personality for the module (see col. 4 lines 43 – 50, reloading the configuration information when resetting the interconnect device); c) load the new interface personality based on the renegotiations with the module (see col. 4 lines 40 – 42, loading configuration information); and d) apply the new interface personality to the one of the plurality of module interfaces (see col. 4 lines 42 – 46 and col. 6 lines 20 – 28, providing the configuration data to units of the switch).

Art Unit: 2416

Regarding claim 7, Chou discloses a method for providing an interface between multiple modules and a control system comprising: a) negotiating with a module over a control path (see Fig. 2, Fig. 3A – 3C, col. 3 lines 25 – 47, col. 4 lines 25 – 35 and col. 5 lines 15 - 48, competing requests for switch resources) via one of a plurality of module interfaces to identify an interface personality for the module (see Fig. 2, col. 5 lines 28 – 48 and col. 6 lines 64 – 67, identifying a storage device storing the configuration data and sending a request for the configuration data);

b) loading the interface personality based on negotiations with the module (see Fig. 2, col. 3 lines 32 – 37 and col. 4 lines 40 - 45, loading the configuration data); and c) applying the interface personality to the one of the plurality of module interfaces (see Fig. 3A – 3C, Fig. 4, Fig. 5, col. 2 lines 25 – 30, col. 4 lines 20 – 25, lines 42 – 46, col. 6 lines 20 – 28 and col. 8 lines 1 - 10, providing the configuration data to various components of switch 200),

such that the applied interface personality provides an appropriate interconnection between the control system interface (see Fig. 3A, processor subsystem interface 304) and the one of the plurality of module interfaces (see col. 3 lines 20 – 25 and Fig. 2, PORTS 1 - 9) via a plurality of pins (see Fig. 2, Fig. 5, Fig. 6, col. 8 lines 20 – 50, values of one or more input pins of the interconnect device are used to identify such storage device).

Regarding claim 8, Chou discloses wherein different interface personalities can be implemented simultaneously among the plurality of module interfaces (see col. 4 lines 20 – 25 lines 42 – 46 and col. 6 lines 20 – 28, providing the configuration data to units of the switch).

Regarding claim 9, Chou discloses wherein the adaptive interconnect logic is further adapted to renegotiate with the module over the control path if initial negotiations fail (see col. 4 lines 43 – 50, reloading the configuration information when resetting the interconnect device).

Art Unit: 2416

Regarding claim 10, Chou discloses wherein if the renegotiation fails, the adaptive interconnect logic is further adapted to send a notification of failure (see col. 3 lines 55 – 67, verify whether the POST has passed or failed).

Regarding claim 11, Chou discloses wherein the adaptive interconnect logic (see Fig. 2, management port 208) is further adapted to: a) receive a stimulus indicative of a change in personality for the module (see col. 5 lines 49 – 62, receiving an indicator); b) renegotiate with the module over the control path via the one of the plurality of module interfaces to identify a new interface personality for the module (see col. 4 lines 43 – 50, reloading the configuration information when resetting the interconnect device); c) load the new interface personality based on the renegotiations with the module (see col. 4 lines 40 – 42, loading configuration information); and d) apply the new interface personality to the one of the plurality of module interfaces (see col. 4 lines 42 – 46 and col. 6 lines 20 – 28, providing the configuration data to units of the switch).

Chou discloses the claimed limitations as stated above. Chou does not specifically disclose the following features: regarding claims 1 and 7, selecting the interface personality; regarding claims 5 and 11, selecting the new interface personality; regarding claims 6 and 12, wherein negotiating, selecting and applying the interface personality are dynamic and occur automatically upon plugging the module into the one of the plurality of module interfaces; regarding claim 16, wherein the interface personality further defines an acceptable protocol for communications with the module; regarding claim 20, wherein the interface personality further defines an acceptable protocol for communications with the module.

Art Unit: 2416

Moon discloses system and method for configuring and deploying I/O cards in a communications environment comprising the following features:

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Moon discloses selecting the interface personality (see abstract, col. 1 lines 50 – 55, a selected configuration parameter).

Regarding claims 5 and 11, Moon discloses selecting the new interface personality (see abstract and col. 1 lines 50 – 55, selected configuration).

Regarding claims 6 and 12, Moon discloses wherein negotiating, selecting and applying the interface personality are dynamic and occur automatically upon plugging the module into the one of the plurality of module interfaces (see col. 2 lines 4 – 15 lines 60 – 63, col. 3 lines 14 – 25, col. 6 lines 1 – 16, automatically configured resources and ‘plug and play’).

Regarding claim 16, Moon discloses wherein the interface personality further defines an acceptable protocol for communications with the module (see col. 2 lines 42 – 63, implemented in conjunction with any other suitable protocol according to particular needs).

Regarding claim 20, Moon discloses wherein the interface personality further defines an acceptable protocol for communications with the module (see col. 2 lines 42 – 63, implemented in conjunction with any other suitable protocol according to particular needs).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Chou, and use the features, as taught by Moon, thus providing for an efficient configuration and deployment technique, as discussed by Moon (see col. 1 lines 35 - 45).

Art Unit: 2416

6. Claims 13, 14, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou et al (US 7,043,569) in view of Moon et al (US 7,000,052) and further in view of Moyer (5,689,714).

Chou and Moon disclose the claimed limitations as stated in paragraph 5 above.

Regarding claim 13, Chou discloses wherein the plurality of pins include datapath pins (see col. Fig. 5, Fig. 6, col. 8 lines 30 - 50).

Regarding claim 17, Chou discloses wherein the plurality of pins include datapath pins (see col. Fig. 5, Fig. 6, col. 8 lines 30 - 50).

Chou does not specifically disclose the following features: regarding claim 13, wherein the plurality of pins include power pins and control pins; regarding claim 17, wherein the plurality of pins include power pins and control pins.

Regarding claim 13, Moon discloses wherein the plurality of pins include power pins (see col. 5 lines 32 – 37 and col. 7 lines 45 - 56).

Regarding claim 17, Moon discloses wherein the plurality of pins include power pins (see col. 5 lines 32 – 37 and col. 7 lines 45 - 56).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Chou, and use the features, as taught by Moon, thus providing for an efficient configuration and deployment technique, as discussed by Moon (see col. 1 lines 35 - 45).

Chou and Moon do not specifically disclose the following features: regarding claim 13, wherein the plurality of pins include control pins; regarding claim 14, wherein the adaptive interconnect logic negotiates with the module using the control pins; regarding claim 17, wherein

Art Unit: 2416

the plurality of pins include control pins; regarding claim 18, wherein the negotiating step with the module is performed using the control pins.

Moyer discloses method and apparatus for providing low power control of peripheral devices using the register file of a microprocessor comprising the following features:

Regarding claim 13, Moyer discloses wherein the plurality of pins include control pins (see Fig. 1, control pins 32).

Regarding claim 14, Moyer discloses wherein the adaptive interconnect logic negotiates with the module using the control pins (see Fig. 1, Fig. 2, col. 2 lines 40 – 55 and col. 4 lines 1 - 25).

Regarding claim 17, Moyer discloses wherein the plurality of pins include control pins (see Fig. 1, control pins 32).

Regarding claim 18, Moyer discloses wherein the negotiating step with the module is performed using the control pins (see Fig. 1, Fig. 2, col. 2 lines 40 – 55 and col. 4 lines 1 - 25).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Chou and Moon, and have the features, as taught by Moyer, thus providing for a rapid status and control access between a peripheral device and the CPU of a microcontroller, as discussed by Moyer (see col. 1 lines 45 - 50).

7. Claims 15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou et al (US 7,043,569) in view of Moon et al (US 7,000,052) and further in view of Tzlil et al. (US 6,392,891).

Art Unit: 2416

Chou and Moon disclose the claimed limitations as stated in paragraph 5 above. Chou and Moon do not specifically disclose the following features: regarding claim 15, wherein the interface personality further defines signal levels for communications with the module; regarding claim 19, wherein the interface personality further defines signal levels for communications with the module.

Tzlil discloses utilizing a convection cooled electronic circuit card for producing a conduction cooled electronic card module comprising the following features:

Regarding claim 15, Tzlil discloses wherein the interface personality further defines signal levels for communications with the module (see col. 1 lines 23 - 35).

Regarding claim 19, Tzlil discloses wherein the interface personality further defines signal levels for communications with the module (see col. 1 lines 23 - 35).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Chou and Moon, and have the features, as taught by Tzlil, thus to utilize a standard convection cooled circuit card for the production of a novel conduction cooled circuit card module to improve conduction cooling, as discussed by Tzlil (see col. 2 lines 27 - 40).

Conclusion

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular paragraphs, columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is

Art Unit: 2416

respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner. In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and, also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the Claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh Ngoc Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 270-5139. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F, from 7AM to 3PM (alternate first Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kwang Yao can be reached on 5712723182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Anh Ngoc Nguyen/

Application/Control Number: 10/797,271

Page 13

Art Unit: 2416

Examiner, Art Unit 2416
01/29/2008

/Kwang B. Yao/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416